

Standards Forensic Weapon and Ammunition Examination (006.1)

Version: 2.1

Date of approval: 24th of May 2018
Date of effect: 5th of June 2018

Contents

Part I.	General Introduction to Standards	
§ 1.	Background to and aim of the Standards	3
§ 2.	Types of applicants	3
§ 3.	Justification of Standards	4
§ 4.	Validity of Standards	5
§ <i>5.</i> 5.1 5.2		5
Part II.	Demarcation of Forensic Weapon and Ammunition Examination	
§ 1.	Introduction	6
§ 2.	Core activities	6
§ 3.	Boundaries of the field of expertise	8
§ 4.	Registration	9
Part III	Registration requirements for Forensic Weapon and Ammunition Examination	
§ 1. 1.1 1.2 1.3	. Initial applicant: reporter without work of his own	10 11 12
§ 2.	Article 12(2) sub-paragraph b	13
§ 3.	Article 12(2) sub-paragraph c	14
§ 4.	Article 12(2) sub-paragraph d	14
§ 5.	Article 12(2) sub-paragraph e	14
§ 6.	Article 12(2) sub-paragraph f	14
§ 7.	Article 12(2) sub-paragraph g	14
§ 8.	Article 12(2) sub-paragraph h	15
§ 9.	Article 12(2) sub-paragraph i	15
§ 10.	Hardship clause	15
Part IV	. Assessment procedure for Forensic Weapon and Ammunition Examination	16
§ 1.	General	16
§ 2. 2.1 2.2	. Initial applicant: reporter without work of his own	16 17
2.3 2.4	' ''	

Annex A	Summary of concepts Forensic Weapon and Ammunition		
	Examination	21	
Annex B	Suggested literature	212	
Annex C	NRGD Glossary	24	
Annex D	Revision History	26	

Part I. General Introduction to Standards

§ 1. Background to and aim of the Standards

Reporting forensic experts play a crucial role in the administration of justice. The NRGD aims to ensure justified confidence in forensic expertise for stakeholders. This confidence must be based on the demonstrable independently safeguarded quality of forensic investigators and their reports on the basis of (inter)national forensic-specific standards.

The NRGD is managed by the Court Experts Board (hereinafter: Board). The Board's core task is to rule on the applications for registration or repeat registration in the register of the NRGD (register). To that end the Board first defines the field of expertise. This is important in order to inform applicants, assessors and users of the register (e.g. judge, public prosecutor and attorney) about the activities an expert in the field of expertise in question engages in and about the activities that fall outside the field of expertise. The demarcation of the field of expertise is set out in Part II of these Standards.

The Board also determines the criteria on the basis of which an assessment is made for each field of expertise as to whether an application complies with the quality requirements. The generic requirements are set out in the Register of Court Experts in Criminal Cases Decree (Besluit register deskundige in strafzaken). These requirements are elaborated further for each field of expertise. This elaboration is set out in Part III of these Standards.

Furthermore the Board determines the assessment procedure. This procedure is described in Part IV of these Standards.

The NRGD has a system of periodic repeat registration. Court experts must demonstrate every five years that they still meet the requirements in force at that time. The Standards are dynamic and are being developed further in order to enhance the quality of the experts. These Standards set out the current state of the (sub-)field of expertise.

§ 2. Types of applicants

The NRGD distinguishes two types of applicants: the initial applicant and the repeat applicant. The initial applicant is a reporter who at the time of submission of the application is not yet registered in the register for the field of expertise to which the application relates. The repeat applicant is an expert who is already registered in the register for the field of expertise to which the application relates.

These two types of applicants are subdivided as follows:

Initial applicant:

- (i) independent reporter: a reporter who has independently written and signed the required number of case reports;
- (ii) reporter without work of his own: a reporter who has not independently written and signed the number of case reports required for registration.

 If the assessment is favourable, the reporter without work of his own will only qualify for provisional registration.

Repeat applicant:

- (i) Repeat applicant after full registration;
- (ii) Repeat applicant after provisional registration.

The initial applicant is an applicant who at the time of submission of the application does not have an NRGD registration. An initial applicant could be:

- the independently reporting expert;
- the newly-trained expert;
- the applicant whose earlier application has been rejected by the Board;
- the applicant whose registration was previously stricken.

In respect of initial applicants, it is necessary to make a clear distinction between the independent reporter and the reporter without work of his own. An example of a reporter without work of his own is the newly-trained expert. This expert has completed the forensic training (reporter's training), but has not yet been able to independently write the number of reports required for the assessment because these are written under the supervision of a tutor during the training. Another example of a reporter without work of his own is the reporter whose earlier application was rejected and who has been working (partly) under supervision following this rejection.

The Board adopts the following principle. Every applicant must draw up a List of Case Information. This list must include a specific number of cases in a period specified by the Board immediately preceding the application. If the List of Case Information includes one or more cases which have been prepared under supervision, the applicant will be qualified as a 'reporter without work of his own'. Additional requirements apply to the applicant whose application was rejected earlier: the case reports must have been drawn up after the date of the Board's decision rejecting the earlier application (Policy Framework for Application after Rejection).

The distinction between the various types of repeat applicants is important in the context of the assessment procedure: the documents a repeat applicant must submit, the composition of the Advisory Committee on Assessment and the assessment method.

§ 3. Justification of Standards

The draft of these Standards has been published on the NRGD website for public consultation. These Standards have been established by the Board in accordance with the Register of Court Experts in Criminal Cases Decree (Besluit register deskundige in strafzaken) and the Experts in Criminal Cases Act (Wet deskundige in strafzaken).

§ 4. Validity of Standards

The Standards are valid from the date shown on the cover. The validity runs until the moment of publication of a new version. In principle it will be checked annually as being up-to-date. This check can lead to a new version. The aim is to publish the new version no more than once a year. Intermediate alterations can be incorporated in an addendum, which will be published on the NRGD website as well.

§ 5. Version management and formal revision history

All changes made to the Standards lead to a new version. Newer versions of (parts of) the Standards are designated with a higher version number.

5.1. Version management

In the case of editorial changes the old version number is increased by 0.1. Editorial changes have no substantive impact. In the case of substantive changes the version number is increased by 1.

5.2. Formal revision history

The revision history starts with version 1.0 as the first formally approved version. Substantive changes made are briefly described in the revision history (Annex C). This makes it possible to trace at all times which Standards are valid at any given moment.

Part II. Demarcation of Forensic Weapon and Ammunition Examination

§ 1. Introduction

The 'Forensic Weapon and Ammunition Examination' field of expertise (further: Weapon and Ammunition) consists of the examination of portable weapons (further: 'weapons'). The term weapon covers both firearms (and the suitable cartridges) and portable devices¹ that are capable of accelerating one or more projectiles (also referred as 'bullets') or merely of producing a bang. Firearms utilise a chemical process through the ignition of a propellant charge and are provided with a combustion chamber and a barrel. Portable devices make use of a physical process, for example the pressure caused by the expansion of gas or the energy released by a spring. A cartridge is ammunition in which the (the) projectile(s), the propellant charge and the primer are combined in the cartridge case.

Shooting incidents involving munitions other than the ordinary unit cartridge (for example, propellant, primer and projectile separated) are not excluded. The field of expertise relates to a technical examination of the weapon, the identification of the firearms used during a shooting incident, and the technical reconstruction of the shooting incident itself.

The Gunshot Residue Examination, the Explosions and Explosives Examination, the field of expertise of Pathology and the field of expertise Assessment regarding the Weapons and Ammunition Act fall outside the scope of this field of expertise.

The following disciplines are distinguished within the field of expertise:

- Comparative bullet and cartridge case examination
- Weapon-technical examination for the purpose of reconstruction
- Forensic ballistics examination

Different questions are relevant per discipline. An overview of the most frequent questions has been provided in Annex A.

§ 2. Core activities

General: an expert in Forensic Weapon and Ammunition Examination identifies, typifies, classifies and describes portable firearms and the ammunition intended for such weapons according to the Weapons and Ammunition Act.

Comparative bullet and cartridge case examination

During the comparative bullet and cartridge case examination, an examination is conducted into microscopically small mechanical damage (weapon marks) on components of ammunition (bullets and cartridge cases) and cartridges. These marks are created when shooting or chambering ammunition in a firearm. The marks are characteristic of or particular to the firearm used and are consequently, highly indicative. Connections can be established between each of the examined components of ammunition and/or between those components of ammunition and a firearm by comparing the weapon marks on bullets, cartridge cases and cartridges with each other. A special form of comparative bullet and cartridge case examination is an examination of

1

¹ Air and spring piston guns

the found ammunition components with a larger collection of stored bullets and cartridge cases from various shooting incidents.

During the comparative bullet and cartridge case examination, the expert identifies relevant weapon marks and compares these with the aid of a comparison microscope equipped for this purpose. The discovered similarities and differences are recorded and interpreted. The latter leads to a statement as to whether the findings are in line with the hypothesis that ammunition components had been discharged in the same firearm or that a given individual firearm had been used or that it was not used.

Weapon-technical examination for the purpose of reconstruction

During a weapon-technical examination, a weapon is examined for mechanical operation, defects and failures for the purpose of reconstructing the causes of a shooting incident. The condition and construction of the weapon are considered while taking into account the actions alleged to have been performed with it. These acts largely concern the possibility of accidental firing during throwing, falling, hitting or struggles. The weapon is tested for sound operation. The examination consists of a thorough (microscope) inspection for damage, signs of wear and tear or missing components. The safety mechanisms that should be present have to be checked, followed by shooting tests and/or experiments that can recreate the acts that have been suggested as possible scenarios. The expert is able to establish, by means of inspection, assembly or disassembly an analysis of faults and failures, and an estimation as to whether there is any true basis for the proposition that the examined weapon fired by accident.

The forensic ballistics examination

The forensic ballistics examination consists of all four phases of ballistics: internal, muzzle (or transitional), external and terminal ballistics. The latter two are particularly relevant in connection with the direction of the shot, determining the firing distance and the effect of the bullets on targets that have been hit.

Experiments are conducted during ballistics examinations with the aim of evaluating the hypotheses proposed by the commissioning party concerning the shooting incident. The questions that can be asked are highly diverse in nature.

External ballistics:

- The expert can determine the projectile velocity (for example with a Doppler radar or a light barrier) and precision of a weapon-ammunition system.
- The firing distance can be evaluated by reconstructing the available data from the crime scene (for example, the spread of buckshot).
- Reconstruction of projectile trajectories.

Terminal ballistics:

 The potential for fatal injury can best be evaluated by performing test shots on calibrated tissue simulators.

The expert has to be capable and competent in the performance of all necessary experiments, perform them or have them performed, and to process and interpret the data of a significant number of measurements (statistically).

Legal domain: criminal law.

§ 3. Boundaries of the field of expertise

Within the practice of the Forensic Weapon and Ammunition Examination field of expertise, the expert will come into contact with other fields of expertise, which are not part of his expertise.

The expert in Forensic Weapon and Ammunition Examination is aware of the possibilities and restrictions of the fields of expertise mentioned below:

Crime scene examination²

The expert in Forensic Weapon and Ammunition Examination can assist and advise the police on site when searching, describing, recording, interpreting and securing marks and carriers of marks of shooting incidents on and around the crime scene.

In doing so, the expert will focus on:

- advising on the approach of the technical examination, in particular bullet trajectory reconstructions on the basis of shot damage,
- providing expert advice on safety with respect to the crime scene examination,
- making weapons secure in unsafe situations (weapons from burnt out cars or under water),
- proposing possible hypotheses or scenarios,
- advising regarding potential forensic follow-up examinations.

Gunshot Residue Examination field of expertise

The Gunshot Residue Examination field of expertise concerns itself with:

- Ballistics on clothing and/or post mortem examination
- Gunshot residue examination
- Examination of the hand and /or sleeve used for shooting
- Examination of micro marks on weapons and ammunition

Pathology field of expertise

The Pathology field of expertise comprises the determination of the victim's cause of death. It is also determined whether the identified shooting is the cause of the death.

Explosions and Explosives Examination field of expertise

The Explosions and Explosives field of expertise is primarily deals with the following investigation:

- Examination of intact factory produced and improvised explosive constructions
- Identification of intact presumably explosive substances and possible raw materials for explosives
- Research of explosions

Usually, Explosions and Explosives examinations concern incidents other than shooting incidents.

Field of expertise of 006.2 Assessment regarding the Weapons and Ammunition Act

² Crime Scene Examinations, as performed by the Police (FO) are, for the time being, not recognised in the Netherlands as a field of expertise, see also Judicial surveys (Dutch), 30 (4) 2004, 72-83.

The field of expertise of Assessment regarding the Weapons and Ammunition Act focuses on:

- Investigation regarding the section of law regarding Weapons and Munitions under which the firearm falls
- Investigation regarding the grounds for exemption
- All essential related extensive weapon-technical examination.

§ 4. Registration

The register will state the name of the relevant expert as an expert in the field of 006.1 Forensic Weapon and Ammunition Examination.

Part III. Registration requirements for Forensic Weapon and Ammunition Examination

The general (repeat) registration requirements are given in the next paragraphs in italics with a reference to Article 12 paragraph 2 in the Register of Court Experts in Criminal Cases Decree (Besluit register deskundige in strafzaken).

An expert will only be registered as an expert in criminal cases upon submission of the application if, in the opinion of the Board, the expert:

- a. has sufficient knowledge and experience in the field of expertise to which the application relates;
- b. has sufficient knowledge of and experience in the field of law concerned, and is sufficiently familiar with the position and the role of the expert in this field;
- c. is able to inform the commissioning party whether, and if so, to what extent the commissioning party's question at issue is sufficiently clear and capable of investigation in order to be able to answer it on the basis of their specific expertise;
- d. is able, on the basis of the question at issue, to prepare and carry out an investigation plan in accordance with the applicable standards;
- e. is able to collect, document, interpret and assess investigative materials and data in a forensic context in accordance with the applicable standards;
- f. is able to apply the current investigative methods in a forensic context in accordance with the applicable standards;
- g. is able to give, both orally and in writing, a verifiable and well-reasoned report on the assignment and any other relevant aspects of their expertise in terms which are comprehensible to the commissioning party;
- h. is able to complete an assignment within the stipulated or agreed period.
- i. is able to carry out the activities as an expert independently, impartially, conscientiously, competently, and in a trustworthy manner.

§ 1. Article 12(2) sub-paragraph a

(...) has sufficient knowledge and experience in the field of expertise to which the application relates.

1.1. Initial applicant: independent reporter

Basic requirements:

- be able to work at the level of someone who has minimally obtained a bachelor degree (natural sciences);
- have a demonstrable level of education, training and experience – demonstrated in the curriculum vitae – in the following core activities:
 - comparative bullet and cartridge case examination;
 - weapon-technical examination;
 - forensic ballistics examination;
- be familiar with the glossary (see Annex A) and the suggested literature (see Annex B) and must keep up to date with relevant professional state-of-the-art developments;
- be minimally capable of answering questions concerning the possibilities and restrictions of, for example, crime scene

investigations and the fields of expertise of: Gunshot Residue Examination, Pathology, Explosions and Explosives Examinations and Assessment regarding the Weapons and Ammunition Act.

Specific requirements:

have interpreted and reported at least 20 examinations per year in the preceding 5 years in which each of the core activities comparative bullet and shell examination (a minimum of 90 reports), weapon-technical investigation (a minimum of 5 reports) and forensic ballistics examination (a minimum of 5 reports) are represented and that have been subjected to collegial review;

In case the applicant is also acting as a supervisor, at least four reports on the List of Case Information should be independently prepared reports.

have spent an average of 40 hours a year over the past 5
years on forensically relevant professional development (e.g.
publications, attending conferences, running or attending
courses).

1.2. Initial applicant: reporter without work of his own

Basic requirements:

- be able to work at the level of someone who has minimally obtained a bachelor degree (natural sciences);should have a demonstrable level of education, training and experience – demonstrated in the curriculum vitae – in the following core activities:
 - comparative bullet and cartridge case examination;
 - weapon-technical examination;
 - forensic ballistics examination;
- be familiar with the glossary (see Annex A) and the suggested literature (see Annex B) and must keep up to date with relevant professional state-of-the-art developments;
- be minimally capable of answering questions concerning the possibilities and restrictions of, for example, crime scene investigations and the fields of expertise of: Gunshot Residue Examination, Pathology, Explosions and Explosives Examinations and Assessment regarding the Weapons and Ammunition Act.

Specific requirements:

- have interpreted and reported at least 20 examinations per year in the preceding 2 years in which each of the core activities comparative bullet and shell examination (a minimum of 36 reports), weapon-technical investigation (a minimum of 2 reports) and forensic ballistics examination (a minimum of 2 reports) are represented and that have been subjected to collegial review;
- have spent an average of 40 hours a year over the past 2 years on forensically relevant professional development (e.g. publications, attending conferences, running or attending

courses).

1.3. Repeat applicant: after full registration

Basic requirements:

- be able to work at the level of someone who has minimally obtained a bachelor degree (natural sciences);
- have a demonstrable level of education, training and experience – demonstrated in the curriculum vitae – in the following core activities:
 - comparative bullet and cartridge case examination;
 - weapon-technical examination;
 - forensic ballistics examination;
- be familiar with the glossary (see Annex A) and the suggested literature (see Annex B) and must keep up to date with relevant professional state-of-the-art developments;
- be minimally capable of answering questions concerning the possibilities and restrictions of, for example, crime scene investigations and the fields of expertise of: Gunshot Residue Examination, Pathology, Explosions and Explosives Examinations and Assessment regarding the Weapons and Ammunition Act.

Specific requirements:

- have interpreted and reported at least 20 examinations per year in the preceding 5 years in which each of the core activities comparative bullet and shell examination (a minimum of 90 reports), weapon-technical investigation (a minimum of 5 reports) and forensic ballistics examination (a minimum of 5 reports) are represented and that have been subjected to collegial review;
 - In case the applicant is also acting as a supervisor, at least four reports on the List of Case Information should be independently prepared reports.
- have spent an average of 40 hours a year over the past 5 years on forensically relevant professional development (e.g. publications, attending conferences, running or attending courses).

1.4. Repeat applicant: after provisional registration

Basic requirements:

- be able to work at the level of someone who has minimally obtained a bachelor degree (natural sciences);
- should have a demonstrable level of education, training and experience – demonstrated in the curriculum vitae – in the following core activities:
 - comparative bullet and cartridge case examination;
 - weapon-technical examination;
 - forensic ballistics examination;
- be familiar with the glossary (see Annex A) and the suggested literature (see Annex B) and must keep up to date with relevant professional state-of-the-art developments;

 be minimally capable of answering questions concerning the possibilities and restrictions of, for example, crime scene investigations and the fields of expertise of: Gunshot Residue Examination, Pathology, Explosions and Explosives Examinations and Assessment regarding the Weapons and Ammunition Act.

Specific requirements:

- have interpreted and reported at least of 20 examinations per year during the registration period in which each of the core activities comparative bullet and shell examination (a minimum of 36 reports), weapon-technical investigation (a minimum of 2 reports) and forensic ballistics examination (a minimum of 2 reports) are represented and that have been subjected to collegial review;

 In case the applicant is also acting as a supervisor, at least
 - In case the applicant is also acting as a supervisor, at least four reports on the List of Case Information should be independently prepared reports.
- have spent an average of 40 hours per year during the registration period on forensically relevant professional development (e.g. publications, attending conferences, running or attending courses).

§ 2. Article 12(2) sub-paragraph b

(...) has sufficient knowledge of and experience in the field of law concerned, and is sufficiently familiar with the position and the role of the expert in this field.

- In general an applicant should have adequate knowledge of Dutch criminal law:
 - context of criminal law:
 - Trias Politica, distinction between civil law, administrative law and criminal law.
 - criminal law procedure:
 - pre-trial investigation;
 - coercive measures;
 - stages of the proceedings:
 - actors in the criminal justice system (tasks/powers/responsibilities);
 - regulations concerning experts laid down in the Dutch Code of Criminal Procedure (position and powers of commissioning party, legal position of expert, position and powers of lawyer, forms of counter-analysis, register of experts in the context of criminal law);
 - legal decision-making framework of the court in criminal cases (decision-making schedule laid down in Section 350 of the Dutch Criminal Code of Procedure), also with a view to the relevance of the commission to the expert and to the question at issue;
 - course of the criminal trial;
 - position of the expert in the court procedure.
 - substantive criminal law:
 - sanctions and grounds for exemption from criminal liability (very basic).
 - knowledge of the legal context of safeguarding the quality of the expert and the analysis/investigation:

- position and role of the co-operating organisations in the criminal justice system in safeguarding the quality of the reports;
- professional codes and relevant regulations in relation to the NRGD Code of Conduct.
- In addition to the above requirements, an applicant for the field of expertise Forensic Weapon and Ammunition Examination:
 - should be familiar with specific Dutch weapons and ammunition legislation and keep abreast of new legislation.

§ 3. Article 12(2) sub-paragraph c

(...) is able to inform the commissioning party whether, and if so, to what extent the commissioning party's question at issue is sufficiently clear and capable of investigation in order to be able to answer it on the basis of their specific expertise.

§ 4. Article 12(2) sub-paragraph d

(...) is able, on the basis of the question at issue, to prepare and carry out an investigation plan in accordance with the applicable standards.

§ 5. Article 12(2) sub-paragraph e

(...) is able to collect, document, interpret and assess investigative materials and data in a forensic context in accordance with the applicable standards.

§ 6. Article 12(2) sub-paragraph f

(...) is able to apply the current investigative methods in a forensic context in accordance with the applicable standards.

An applicant should:

- have insight into, knowledge of and/or experience with:
 - Prosecutor and Defence Fallacies:
 - Pitfalls in comparative bullet and cartridge case examinations (false positive fallacy);
 - Bayesian method;
 - Consecutive Matching Striae (CMS) method;
 - (Confirmation) Bias;
 - Measurement uncertainty;
 - General principles of the manufacturing of portable firearms and cartridges;
 - · General principles of quality assurance;
 - · Ballistics.

§ 7. Article 12(2) sub-paragraph g

(...) is able to give, both orally and in writing, a verifiable and well-reasoned report on the assignment and any other relevant aspects of their expertise in terms which are comprehensible to the commissioning party.

An applicant should:

 be able, on the basis of the results, to report to a non-qualified person about an interpretation and conclusion (both orally and in writing) and should be able to support these with statistics where relevant.

§ 8. Article 12(2) sub-paragraph h

(...) is able to complete an assignment within the stipulated or agreed period.

§ 9. Article 12(2) sub-paragraph i

(...) is able to carry out the activities as an expert independently, impartially, conscientiously, competently, and in a trustworthy manner.

An applicant should

comply with the NRGD Code of Conduct determined by the Court Experts Board and published on the website of the NRGD.

§ 10. Hardship clause

The Board may decide not to apply or deviate from a registration requirement if application of such requirement would produce very unreasonable results. The hardship clause may only offer a solution in certain exceptional situations. It is up to the applicant himself to submit facts and circumstances showing that a certain registration requirement is unreasonable in his specific case.

Part IV. Assessment procedure for Forensic Weapon and Ammunition Examination

§ 1. General

In all fields of expertise the assessment will be based on the written information provided, including as a minimum requirement case reports and items of evidence, supplemented in principle with an oral assessment. However, such an oral assessment will not be necessary if the applicant's expertise has already been clearly demonstrated by the written information.

The assessment will in principle be carried out on the basis of the information provided by the applicant:

- general information as part of the application package
- documentary evidence of competence.

If it is felt necessary in the context of the assessment an additional case report and/or information, for example information about the way collegial review and/or supervision is organized within the organization, can be requested.

§ 2. Assessment procedure per type of applicant

2.1. Initial applicant: independent reporter

Documents to be submitted:

- NRGD application form;
- Certificate of Good Conduct;
- a clearly legible copy of a valid passport or identity card;
- copies of documents relating to the highest level of professional qualification:
- a curriculum vitae (CV), preferably in English;
- Overview Continuing Professional Development Forensic Weapon and Ammunition Examination;
- List of Case Information Forensic Weapon and Ammunition Examination3 case reports³ not older than 5 year selected by the applicant from the List of Case Information. If possible the case reports should also contain the testimony delivered in court; These case reports should provide a clear and broad

These case reports should provide a clear and broad picture of the applicant's competencies.

- if available;
 - proof of the forms of professional development referred to in the Overview Continuing Professional Development Forensic Weapon and Ammunition Examination.

<u>Assessment method</u>: phase a. administrative, by the NRGD Bureau; phase b. substantive, by an Advisory Committee for

16

³ Including the observation form and corresponding (digital) image material.

Assessment (ACA) made up of at least three people on the basis of the available written material, including possible supplementary written information. In principle this ACA consists of a lawyer and two professional assessors:

phase c. substantive, by the ACA specified at phase b by means of an oral assessment. This oral assessment will be waived if the applicant's expertise has already been clearly established in phase b;

phase d. decision by the Board: registration, provisional registration or no registration.

2.2. Initial applicant: reporter without work of his own

Documents to be submitted:

- NRGD application form;
- Certificate of Good Conduct;
- a clearly legible copy of a valid passport or identity card;
- copies of documents relating to the highest level of professional qualification;
- a curriculum vitae (CV), preferably in English;
- Overview Continued Professional Development Forensic Weapon and Ammunition Examination;
- List of Case Information Forensic Weapon and Ammunition Examination;
- 3 case reports⁴ drawn up in the past 2 years selected by the applicant from the List of Case Information. If possible the case reports should also contain the testimony delivered in court;

These case reports should provide a clear and broad picture of the applicant's competencies.

- if available:
 - proof of the forms of professional development referred to in the Overview Continuing Professional Development Forensic Weapon and Ammunition Examination.

⁴ Including the observation form and corresponding (digital) image material.

Assessment method:

phase a. administrative, by the NRGD Bureau;

phase b. substantive, by an Advisory Committee for

Assessment (ACA) made up of at least three people

on the basis of the available written material,

including possible supplementary written information. In principle this ACA consists of a lawyer and two

professional assessors;

phase c. substantive, by the ACA specified at phase b by means of an oral assessment. This oral assessment will be waived if the applicant's expertise has already

been clearly established in phase b;

phase d. decision by the Board: provisional registration or no

registration.

2.3. Repeat applicant: after full registration

Documents to be submitted:

- NRGD application form;
- Certificate of Good Conduct;
- copies of documents relating to the highest level of professional qualification (if changed);
- an updated curriculum vitae (CV), preferably in English;
- Overview Continuing Professional Development Forensic Weapon and Ammunition Examination;
- List of Case Information Forensic Weapon and Ammunition Examination;
- 2 case reports⁵ drawn up in the past 5 years selected by the applicant from the List of Case Information. If possible the case reports should also contain the testimony delivered in court;

These case reports should provide a clear and a broad picture of the applicant's competencies.

- if available:
 - proof of the forms of professional development referred to in the Overview Continuing Professional Development Forensic Weapon and Ammunition Examination.

Assessment method:

phase a. administrative, by the NRGD Bureau; phase b. substantive, by an Advisory Committee for Assessment (ACA) made up of at least two people on the basis of the available written material. This ACA will in principle consist of a lawyer and a professional

assessor;

phase c. substantive, by the ACA specified at phase b to which one professional assessor is added, drawn from the same field of expertise as the applicant, on the basis of the available written material. This will not be necessary if the ACA unanimously gives a positive

⁵ Including the observation form and corresponding (digital) image material.

recommendation to the Board in phase b; phase d. substantive, by the ACA specified at phase c by means of an oral assessment. This oral assessment will be waived if the applicant's expertise has been clearly established in phase c;

phase e. decision by the Board: registration, provisional registration or no registration.

2.4. Repeat applicant: after provisional registration

Documents to be submitted:

- NRGD application form;
- an updated curriculum vitae (CV), preferably in English;
- copies of documents relating to the highest level of professional qualification (if changed);
- Overview Continuing Professional Development Forensic Weapon and Ammunition Examination;
- List of Case Information Forensic Weapon and Ammunition Examination;
- 2 case reports⁶ drafted during the registration period selected by the applicant from the List of Case Information. If possible the case reports should also contain the testimony delivered in court; These case reports should provide a clear and broad picture of the applicant's competencies
- if available:
 - proof of the forms of professional development referred to in the Overview Continuing Professional Development Forensic Weapon and Ammunition Examination.

Assessment method

phase a. administrative, by the NRGD Bureau; phase b. substantive, by an Advisory Committee for Assessment (ACA) made up of at least three people on the basis of the available written material. In principle this ACA consists of a lawyer and two professional assessors;

phase c. substantive, by the ACA specified at phase b by means of an oral assessment. This oral assessment will be waived if the applicant's expertise has already been clearly established;

phase d. decision by the Board: registration, provisional registration or no registration.

⁶ Including the observation form and corresponding (digital) image material.

Annex A Relevant questions per examination discipline

Comparative bullet and cartridge case examination

- Have the ammunition components (bullets/cartridge cases) been fired from the firearm(s) presented?
- Do the fired ammunition components come from one or from multiple firearm(s)?
- What is the type and brand of the firearm(s) used?
- Can a relationship with another shooting incident in the Netherlands be demonstrated?
- Can a relationship between the firearm, or the bullets or the cartridge cases, etc. and a shooting incident in a certain country/countries be demonstrated?

This list is not exhaustive. Other questions are also possible.

Identification of ammunition

- Regarding the projectile in the body of the victim (which caliber and type) given the provided x-rays and/or CT scans?
- What is the calibre and brand of the unknown bullet?
- Do one or more metal fragments originate from a single bullet and, if so, what type of bullet is it?

This list is not exhaustive. Other questions are also possible.

Weapon-technical examination

- Does the firearm function properly / as intended?
- Can the alleged failures that have occurred be explained?
- Can the weapon discharge by falling, throwing, bumping or hitting?
- Can the home-made weapon be used to fire cartridges?
- What caused the weapon to blow/tear the barrel?

The first three questions have to be considered in relation to the possible accidental discharge of a shot or the possible misfire.

This list is not exhaustive. Other questions are also possible.

Forensic ballistics examinations

- What is the firing distance considering the impact of the shotgun pellets?
- Can a shot with the firearm/weapon cause a lethal injury? (possibly after being pierced by or ricochet from another object)
- What wounds could be inflicted if this ammunition is fired?
- Which assumptions can be drawn from the original ballistics trajectory on the basis of the damage(s) of a shot?

This list is not exhaustive. Other questions are also possible.

Annex B Suggested literature

Only available in Dutch:

J.A.J.M. Waaijer & Zanten, M. van (2011). Meertalig verklarend woordenboek wapens en munitie. Den Haag: Sdu Uitgevers.

A candidate should be aware of recent developments within the relevant field of expertise. The list of literature contained below is an non exhaustive list of minimum proposed literature.

Suggested literature:

Comparative examination, technical weapon research and ballistics

- Biasotti, A.A. (1959). A Statistical Study of the Individual Characteristics of Fired Bullets, *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 4 (1), 34-50.
- Biasotti, A.A. & Murdock, J. (1984). Criteria for Identification or State of the Art of Firearm and Toolmark Identification, *AFTE Journal*, 16 (4),16-24.
- Bonfanti, M. & De Kinder, J. (1999). The influence of manufacturing processes on the identification of bullets and cartridge cases a review of the literature, *Science & Justice*, 39 (1), 3-10.
- Burrard, G. (1990). *The Identification of Firearms and Forensic Ballistics*. Prescott, Arizona, USA: Wolfe Publishing Company.
- Faigman, D.L., Kaye D.H., Saks M.J. and Sanders J. (2010). *Modern Scientific Evidence: The Law and Science of Expert Testimony. Firearms and Toolmarks Identification.* USA: West Publishing.
- Gunther, J.D. and Gunther, C.O. (1935). *The Identification of Firearms.* New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Hatcher, J.S. (1935). *Textbook of Firearms Investigation, Identification and Evidence*. Plantersville, USA: Small-Arms Technical Publishing Co.
- Heard, B.J. (1997). *Handbook of Firearms and Ballistics Examining and Interpreting Forensic Evidence*. West Sussex: John Wiley & Sons.
- Miller, J. & McLean, M. (1998). Criteria For Identification of Toolmarks, *AFTE Journal*, 30 (1), 15-61.
- Miller, J. (2000). Criteria for Identification of Toolmarks, Part II, Single Land Impression comparisons, *AFTE Journal*, 32 (2), 116–131.
- Nichols, R.G. (1997). Firearm and Toolmark Identification Criteria: a review of the Literature, *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 42 (3), 466-474.
- Nichols, R.G. (2003). Firearm and Toolmark Identification Criteria: a review of the Literature, *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 48 (2), 318-327.
- Moran, B. (2002). A Report on the AFTE Theory of Identification of Toolmarks and Range of Conclusions for Tool Mark Identification and Resulting Approaches to Casework, *AFTE Journal*, 34 (2), 227–235.
- Nichols, R.G. (2003). Consecutive Matching Striations (CMS): Its definition, Study and Application in the Discipline of Firearms and Tool Mark Identification, *AFTE Journal*, 35 (3), 298 -306.
- Sellier, K. (1982). Schusswaffen und Schusswirkungen: Ballistik, Medizin, Kriminalistik. Lübeck: Schmidt-Römhild.

Forensic statistics (including Bayes)

- Robertson, B. & Vignaux, G.A. (1995). *Interpreting evidence evaluating forensic science in the courtroom.* Chichester, John Wiley & Sons.
- Lucy, D. (2005). Introduction to Statistics for Forensic Scientists. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons.
- Broeders, A.P.A. (2003). Op zoek naar de bron over de grondslagen van de criminalistiek en de waardering van het forensisch bewijs. Deventer: Kluwer.
- Sjerps, M.J.& Coster van Voorhout, J.A. (2005). Het onzekere bewijs. Gebruik van statistiek en kansrekening in het strafrecht, Deventer: Kluwer.
- Berger, C.E.H. (2010). Criminalistiek is terugredeneren. *Nederlands Juristenblad*, 646 (13), 784-789.

Bias / influence:

- Risinger, D.M., Saks, M.J., Thompson, W.C. & Rosenthal, R. (2002). The Daubert/Kumho implications of observer effects in forensic science: hidden problems of expectation and suggestion. *California Law Review*, 90 (1), 1-56.
- Saks, M.J., Risinger, D.M., Rosenthal, R., & Thompson, W.C. (2003), Context effects in forensic science. *Science & Justice*, 43(2), 77-90.
- Dror, I. E., Charlton, D., & Peron A. (2006). Contextual information renders experts vulnerable to making erroneous identifications. Forensic Science International, 156 (1), 74-78.
- Interpol-Review 2010-2013: 'Examination of Firearms' (17th Interpol International Forensic Science Managers Symposium (Lyon, 8- 10 October 2013).
- HOME/2010/ISEC/MO/4000001759: Strengthening the Evaluation of Forensic Results across Europe (STEOFRAE), 8 March 2015.

Annex C NRGD Glossary

Advisory Committee for

Assessment

A committee appointed by the Board which advises the Board on the (repeat) applicant's (degree of) suitability for (repeat)

Annex C NRGD Glossary

registration.

Applicant Natural person submitting an application to the NRGD in

order to be (re-) registered in the register.

Assessor A member of an Advisory Committee for Assessment.

Board The Court Experts Board is the body as referred to in Section

51k(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure and is charged with

managing the register.

Brdis Register of Court Experts in Criminal Cases Decree (Besluit

register deskundige in strafzaken).

Bureau The NRGD Bureau that supports the Board.

Collegial review The assessment of another person's work for the purpose of

continuous quality control of a person's expertise. There is thereby not a hierarchical but a horizontal relationship between colleagues specialised in the same subject area.

The reviewer does not sign the report.

Continuous professional

development

All (training) activities that contribute to the ongoing

development of knowledge and skills, which is desirable and necessary in order to be able to continue performing the role

of court expert in a professional manner.

Independent reporter A reporter who has independently prepared and signed the

required number of case reports

Initial applicant An applicant who makes an application to be entered in the

register and does not or not yet have an NRGD registration at

the time when the application is made.

Intervision Intervision is a structured (interdisciplinary) meeting between

people who are working or training in the same professional area. The subject of discussion is in any case the forensic work carried out and the associated problems. The aim is to enhance the expertise of those involved and improve quality

of work. Unlike supervision, there is no hierarchical

relationship between the participants.

NRGD The Netherlands Register of Court Experts of which the

Board and the Bureau form part.

Provisional registration The registration of an expert for a period specified by the

Board and possibly under certain conditions which must be met within that period. In principle the period to be specified

by the Board is two years.

Register The national public register as referred to in Section 51 k(1)

of the Code of Criminal Procedure, which lists the court

experts which the Board deems suitable.

Registered expert An expert who is entered in the register.

Registration Entry in the register.

Repeat applicant An expert who at the time of submitting a repeat application

already has a NRGD registration, possibly for a provisional

registration.

Reporter An individual who issues a report for the administration of

justice and/or gives testimony in court.

Reporter training A coherent and structured arrangement of organised training

activities in which the necessary knowledge and experience are acquired to report as a court expert in criminal law

proceedings and that is completed by an exam.

Reporter with no own work A reporter who has not independently completed and signed

the number of case reports required for registration.

Supervision The assessment of another person's work, the joint

consideration of the work and the supervision of a supervisee as part of a training or additional training process. Supervisor and supervisee are thereby in a hierarchical relationship. The supervisor will observe the subject of the investigation (the investigated person) in such a way that they can check the supervisee's investigation, and can endorse and take

responsibility for the conclusions thereof. The supervisor will

sign the report in all cases.

User Someone who uses the register in order to find and

potentially engage a registered expert.

Annex D Revision History

Version	Date	Revisions made
2.1	Juni 2018	Adjustments on the basis of Template Standards 3.2: - changes in policy, e.g. provisional registration - generic textual changes and harmonisation - editorial changes in English terminology - Statement NRGD added to Application Form
2.0	12.12.2016	Generic adjustments: - addition: generic introduction for all fields of expertise in Part I - adjusted description of types of applicants: independent/work of his own - differentiation per types of applicants to provide an immediate overview of respective requirements (Part III) and assessment procedure (Part IV) - number of hours CPD - Continued Professional Development (CPD) mandatory for all types of applicants - integration of several NRGD policy frameworks in Standards
1.0	1.5.2011	First edition